arXiv:1408.1799v1 [math.GT] 8 Aug 2014

COHERENT BAND PATHWAYS BETWEEN KNOTS AND LINKS

DOROTHY BUCK AND KAI ISHIHARA

Abstract. We categorise coherent band (aka nullification) pathways between knots and 2-component links. Additionally, we characterise the minimal coherent band pathways (with intermediates) between any two knots or 2-component links with small crossing number. We demonstrate these band surgeries for knots and links with small crossing number. We apply these results to place lower bounds on the minimum number of recombinant events separating DNA configurations, restrict the recombination pathways and determine chirality and/or orientation of the resulting recombinant DNA molecules.

1. Introduction

Let L be a link and b a disk which intersects with L at a disjoint union α of two arcs in the boundary, i.e. $L \cap b = \alpha \subset \partial b$. Let β be a disjoint union of arcs obtained from ∂b by removing α, i.e. $\beta = \overline{\partial b - \alpha}$. Then we obtain a link $L_b = (L - \alpha) \cup \beta$ by replacing α in L with β. We call this operation constructing L_b from L a *band surgery* and b a *band* of the band surgery, see Figure 1. If L and L_b are oriented links, and a band surgery $L \to L_b$ preserves the orientations of L and L_b (except for the band b), the band surgery is said to be *coherent*. (Band surgeries for unoriented links are also called $H(2)$-moves [24].)



Figure 1. A band surgery.

A coherent band surgery always changes the number of link components by one. Conversely, a band surgery which changes the number of link components becomes a coherent band surgery by taking appropriate orientations of both links.

Band surgeries are an important area of knot theory, and have been well-studied. In addition to considering band surgeries in terms of cobordisms or nullification (both discussed below) Kanenobu [22], and joint with Abe [1] and Miyazawa [24], has considered surgeries which preserve the number of link components as well as band surgeries on unoriented links. Also the second author and Shimokawa gave a table of pairs of (oriented) knots and $(2, 2k)$-torus links with and without coherent band surgeries [20].

1.1. **Cobordisms.** Cobordisms are essential tools in examining surfaces embedded in 4-space, and are natural analogues as band surgeries. Given two oriented links L_0 and L_1, a *cobordism* from L_0 to L_1 is a pair $(S^3 \times I, F)$, where F is a properly embedded oriented surface in $S^3 \times I$ such that $\partial F \cap (S^3 \times \{i\}) = (-1)^{i+1} L_i$ for $i = 0, 1$. From the cobordism perspective, a band surgery is a cobordism obtained by attaching a single 1-handle to the surface $L_0 \times [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ in $S^3 \times I$.

If a knot has m band surgeries producing an $(m+1)$-component trivial link, then the knot is called a *ribbon knot*. (Note that a ribbon knot is a slice knot, namely bounds a disc in the 4-ball.) The least number of such m is called the *ribbon fusion number*. Kanenobu gave several conditions of coherent band surgery for two oriented links via ribbon fusion number [21].

A 2-component link is said to be *band-trivialisable* if there exists a band surgery producing a trivial knot. If a two component link is band-trivialisable, the link has 4-ball genus zero. Kanenobu also applied his methods to band-trivialisability, and gave examples of 2-component links which are 4-ball genus zero and not band-trivialisable [23].

1.2. **Nullification.** The coherent band surgeries are essentially equivalent to the nullification moves as shown in Figure 2. For an oriented link, the *nullification number* of the link is the



FIGURE 2. Nullification moves

minimum number of nullification moves needed to obtain an unlink from the link. This concept of nullification number has been investigated by both mathematicians and biologists, see e.g. [5, 34, 12] and [11], where it is termed the *general nullification number*. (We discuss the biological applications of this in Section 4.) In particular, [12] determined the nullification number for all prime knots up to 9 crossings.

For two oriented links L and L', we define the *coherent band-Gordian distance* between L and L', and denote by $d(L, L')$, as the minimum number of coherent band surgeries needed to obtain L from L'. Note that (coherent) band surgeries are reversible: the band for a band surgery $L \to L'$ is also a band for a band surgery $L' \to L$, and so nullification moves are reversible as well.

1.3. **Current Work.** Our present interest lies in coherent band surgeries. We consider both the minimal (coherent band surgery or nullification) 'distance' between knots and links, and the *pathways* between knots and multi-component links. In particular, we examine when there are n-component link intermediates (for $n \geq 1$) between two given knots or links.

Our main results are to categorise coherent band pathways between knots and multi-component links in Section 2. Additionally, we characterise the minimal coherent band pathway (with intermediates) between any two knots or 2-component links with small crossing number. We demonstrate these band surgeries for knots and links with small crossing numbers in Section 3.2. In Tables 1, 2 and 3, we also demonstrate an intermediate in this minimal pathway, although note in general there could be more than one pathway of minimal length (and hence several possible intermediates). For these minimal pathways, we also demonstrate where the band occurs in Figures 8 and 9.

In Section 4, we apply these results to give lower bounds on the minimum number of recombinant events separating DNA configurations, restrict the recombination pathways and determine chirality and/or orientation of the resulting recombinant DNA molecules.

1.4. **Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank Claus Ernst, Akio Kawauchi, Taizo Kanenobu and Robert Scharein for their helpful discussions. This work is partially supported by The Leverhulme Trust Research Grant RP2013-K-017 and EPSRC grants G039585/1 and H031367/1 to Dorothy Buck, and EPSRC grant H031367/1 and the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI 26800081 to Kai Ishihara.

2. Coherent Band Pathways

A sequence $L_0, L_1, \ldots, L_n$ of oriented links is called a *coherent band pathway with length* n, denoted by $L_0 \leftrightarrow L_1 \leftrightarrow \ldots \leftrightarrow L_n$, if $d(L_{i-1}, L_i) = 1$ for any integer $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. The number of components of a link L is denoted by $\mu(L)$. A coherent band surgery always changes $\mu(L)$ by one, thus:

Remark 2.1. $d(L, L') \equiv \mu(L) - \mu(L') \pmod 2$.

We consider the number of link components on a coherent band pathway. Let $L_0 \leftrightarrow L_1 \leftrightarrow L_2 \leftrightarrow L_3$ be a coherent band pathway with length 3, then we have the following:

Lemma 2.2. (1) *If the links L_0, L_1 and L_2 have $m, m-1$ and m components respectively, then there exists an $(m+1)$-component link L_1' such that $L_0 \leftrightarrow L_1' \leftrightarrow L_2$ is a coherent band pathway with length 2 (see Figure 3, left).*
(2) *If the links L_0, L_1, L_2 and L_3 have $m-1, m, m+1$ and m components respectively, then there exist an m-component link L_1' and $(m-1)$-component link L_2' such that $L_0 \leftrightarrow L_1' \leftrightarrow L_2' \leftrightarrow L_3$ is a coherent band pathway with length 3 (see Figure 3, right).*



Figure 3.

Remark 2.3. *The converse of Lemma 2.2,(1) is not true in general. In fact, there are examples of pairs of two components links which are coherent band-Gordian distance 2, but there are no knots as an intermediate, see Figure 4 and also Table 3.*



Figure 4.

Proof. By the definition of a coherent band pathway, there exist three bands b_1, b_2 and b_3 such that b_i relates L_{i-1} and L_i, i.e. there exists two disjoint unions $\alpha_i \subset L_{i-1}$ and $\beta_i \subset L_i$ of two arcs such that $\partial b_i = \alpha_i \cup \beta_i$, $\alpha_i \cap \beta_i = \partial\alpha_i = \partial\beta_i$, $L_{i-1} \cap b_i = \alpha_i$, $L_i \cap b_i = \beta_i$, and $L_i = (L_{i-1} - \alpha_i) \cup \beta_i$ ($L_{i-1} = (L_i - \beta_i) \cup \alpha_i$) for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We may assume three bands b_1, b_2, b_3 are mutually disjoint by moving them along the links if necessary. Each b_i is a band of a coherent band surgery, so $\mu(L_i) - \mu(L_{i-1}) = 1$ or -1 according to two arcs of α_i are situated in the same component of L_{i-1} or situated in different components.

In the case (1) of Lemma 2.2, two arcs of α_1 (resp. α_2) are situated in different components of L_0 (resp. situated in the same component of L_1). Let L_1' be a link obtained from L_0 by a coherent band surgery along b_2, i.e. $L_1' = (L_0 - \alpha_2) \cup \beta_2 = (L_2 - \beta_1) \cup \alpha_1$. Note that $L_0 \leftrightarrow L_1' \leftrightarrow L_2$ is a coherent band pathway. We will show that b_2 can be moved along L_1 so that $\mu(L_1') - \mu(L_0) = 1$ i.e. $\mu(L_1') = m+1$. If two arcs of α_2 are situated in the same component of L_0, then it is not necessary to move b_2. Therefore we suppose two arcs of α_2 are situated in different components of L_0. Then the two components agree with ones in which two arcs of α_1 are situated. Because two arcs of α_2 are situated in the same component of $L_1 = (L_0 - \alpha_1) \cup \beta_1$, while they are situated in different components of L_0. It implies that each arc of β_1 connects the two components. Hence we can move one arc of α_2 together with b_2 along L_0 through one arc of β_1. Then α_2 are situated in the same component of L_0 after that, and so $\mu(L_1') = m + 1$.

In the case (2) of Lemma 2.2, two arcs of α_1 (resp. α_2) are situated in the same component of L_0 (resp. L_1) and α_3 are situated in different components of L_2. If α_3 are situated in different components of L_1, by putting $L_1' := L_1$ and $L_2' := (L_1 - \alpha_3) \cup \beta_3 = (L_3 - \beta_2) \cup \alpha_2$, then we have a coherent band pathway $L_0 \leftrightarrow L_1' \leftrightarrow L_2' \leftrightarrow L_3$ with $\mu(L_1') = m$ and $\mu(L_2') = m - 1$. Therefore we suppose α_3 are situated in the same component of L_1. The component agrees with the one which contains both arcs of α_2. Because two arcs of α_3 are situated in different components of $L_2 = (L_1 - \alpha_2) \cup \beta_2$, while they are situated in the same component of L_1. Let K_1 be such a component of L_1 containing both α_2 and α_3, and for $i \in \{2, 3\}$, let $\alpha_{i,1}, \alpha_{i,2}$ be two arcs of α_i. One of arcs $\alpha_{2,1}, \alpha_{2,2}$ and one of $\alpha_{3,1}, \alpha_{3,2}$ appear alternately as one goes along K_1. say in order by $\alpha_{2,1}, \alpha_{3,1}, \alpha_{2,2}$ and $\alpha_{3,2}$, otherwise $\alpha_{3,1}$ and $\alpha_{3,2}$ are situated in the same component of L_2. Since at most one arc of β_1 lie in K_1, one component of $L_0 = (L_1 - \beta_1) \cup \alpha_1$, say K_0, contains both α_2 and α_3. Moreover four arcs also appear in order by $\alpha_{2,1}, \alpha_{3,1}, \alpha_{2,2}$ and $\alpha_{3,2}$ as one goes along K_0. Then by putting $L_1' := (L_0 - \alpha_2) \cup \beta_2$ and $L_2' := (L_1' - \alpha_3) \cup \beta_3 = (L_3 - \beta_1) \cup \alpha_1$, we have a coherent band pathway $L_0 \leftrightarrow L_1' \leftrightarrow L_2' \leftrightarrow L_3$ with $\mu(L_1') = m$ and $\mu(L_2') = m - 1$. □

By using Lemma 2.2, we have the following.

Proposition 2.4. (1) *Let L, L' be m-component links. If $d(L, L') \geq 4$, then there exists an m-component link L'' such that $d(L, L') = d(L, L'') + d(L'', L')$ and $2 \leq d(L, L'') \leq d(L, L') - 2$.* (2) *Let L L' be an m-component link and m'-component link respectively. Then there exists a m-component link L'' such that $d(L, L') = d(L, L'') + d(L'', L')$ and $d(L'', L') = |m - m'|$.*

By the same argument of Baader [2], we have the following.

Theorem 2.5. *Let L and L' be oriented links with the same number of components. If $d(L, L') = 2$, then there exists infinite family $\{M_i\}$ of mutually distinct links such that $d(L, M_i) = d(M_i, L') = 1$; i.e. $L \leftrightarrow M_i \leftrightarrow L'$ is a coherent band pathway with length 2.*

Proof. Since $d(L, L') = 2$, there exists an oriented link M with $d(M, L) = d(M, L') = 1$. We may assume there exist two disjoint bands b and b' such that $M \cap b = \alpha \subset \partial b$, $M \cap b' = \alpha \subset \partial b'$, and $L = (M - \alpha) \cup \beta$, $L' = (M - \alpha') \cup \beta'$, where each of $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta'$ is a disjoint union of two arcs such that $\partial b = \alpha \cup \beta$, $\alpha \cap \beta = \partial\alpha = \partial\beta$. $\partial b' = \alpha' \cup \beta'$, $\alpha' \cap \beta' = \partial\alpha' = \partial\beta'$. There exist eight types for the pair $(M, b \cup b')$ according to the situation of four arcs $\alpha \cup \alpha'$ in M, see Figure 5



FIGURE 5.

Let M^* be an oriented link obtained from L (resp. L') by a coherent band surgery along a band b' (resp. b), i.e $M^* = (L - \alpha') \cup \beta' = (L' - \alpha) \cup \beta$. Note that $M^* \cup b \cup b' = M \cup b \cup b'$ and $d(M^*, L) = d(M^*, L') = 1$, so we call the pair $(M^*, b \cup b')$ the *dual* of $(M, b \cup b')$. It is enough to prove the statement of Theorem 2.5 for the case where each component of M intersects with b or b'. Since $M \cap (b \cup b') = \alpha \cup \alpha'$ is a union of mutually disjoint four arcs, we may assume the number of components $\mu(M)$ is at most 4. There are four cases: (1) M has 4-components; (2) M has 3-components; (3) M has 2-components; (4) M is a knot.

In the first case (Figure 5, (1)), the dual of $(M^*, b \cup b')$ is a pair of 2-component link and two bands (Figure 5, (3-1)). Hence this reduces to the third case.

In the second case, one component contains one arc of α and one arc of α', and other two components each contain only one arc of $\alpha \cup \alpha'$ (Figure 5, (2-1)), otherwise L and L' have different numbers of components (Figure 5, (2-2)). In this case, the dual of $(M^*, b \cup b')$ is a pair of a knot and two bands (Figure 5, (4-1)). Hence this reduces to the fourth case.

In the third case, either each component contains one of α and α' (Figure 5, (3-1)) or each component intersects both α and α' (Figure 5, (3-2)), otherwise L and L' have different numbers of components (Figure 5, (3-3)). Let β_n, β_n' be unions of two arcs obtained from β, β' by n time full twisting each other together with bands b, b', and put $M_n := (M - \alpha) \cup \beta_n$ as shown in Figure 6, (3-1) and (3-2). Note that $L \leftrightarrow M_n \leftrightarrow L'$ is a coherent band pathway. In the case



FIGURE 6.

(3-1), M_n has four components $K_{n,1}, K_{n,2}, K_{n,3}$, and $K_{n,4}$, where $K_{n,1} \cup K_{n,2}$ contains β_n and

$K_{n,3} \cup K_{n,4}$ contains β'_n. Then we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned}&(lk(K_{n,1}, K_{n,3}), lk(K_{n,1}, K_{n,4}), lk(K_{n,2}, K_{n,3}), lk(K_{n,2}, K_{n,4}))\\ = &(lk(K_{0,1}, K_{0,3}) \pm n, lk(K_{0,1}, K_{0,4}) \mp n, lk(K_{0,2}, K_{0,3}) \mp n, lk(K_{0,2}, K_{0,4}) \pm n)\end{aligned}$$

Hence the family $\{M_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ contains infinitely many different links. In the case (3-2), M_n has two components $K_{n,1}$ and $K_{n,2}$. Then we have $lk(K_{n,1}, K_{n,2}) = lk(K_{0,1}, K_{0,2}) \pm 2n$. Hence the family $\{M_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ contains infinitely many different links.

In the fourth case (Figure 5, (4-1) and (4-2)), we will use the same argument as [2]. For $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$, $M_{x,y,z}$ denotes the oriented link as shown in Figure 6, (4-1) and (4-2), and put $M_n := M_{-n,n,n}$. Then by Lemma 1.3 in [2],

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \nabla_{M_n}(z) = -z^2 \nabla_{M_{\infty,0,\infty}}(z),$$

where ∇ is the Conway polynomial. Since $M_{\infty,0,\infty}$ is a knot, which is ambient isotopic to M, $\nabla_{M_{\infty,0,\infty}}(z)$ is not zero. Hence the family $\{M_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ contains infinitely many different links. □

3. Coherent Band pathways between knots and 2-component links

3.1. **Previous lower bounds for the length of the Coherent Band Pathway.** We begin by recalling known lower bounds for coherent band pathway. Let L and L' be oriented links. The signature of L is denoted by $\sigma(L)$. Murasugi [29] showed the following:

Theorem 3.1 ([29])**.**

$$|\sigma(L) - \sigma(L')| \leq d(L, L').$$

We denote the Jones polynomial of L by $V(L;t)$ and put $\omega = e^{i\pi/3}$. Kanenobu [21] showed the following.

Theorem 3.2 ([21])**.** *Suppose* $\mu(L) \equiv \mu(L') \pmod 2$.

If $V(L;\omega)/V(L';\omega) \notin \{\pm(i\sqrt{3})^{\pm k}, \sqrt{3}^{\pm n} \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, *then* $n + 2 \leq d(L, L')$.

Suppose $\mu(L) \not\equiv \mu(L') \pmod 2$.

If $V(L;\omega)/V(L';\omega) \notin \{\pm i(i\sqrt{3})^{\pm k}, -\sqrt{3}^{\pm n} \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, *then* $n + 2 \leq d(L, L')$.

We denote the Q polynomial of a link L by $Q(L;z)$, and put $\rho(L) = Q(L;(\sqrt{5}-1)/2)$. Kanenobu [21] showed the following.

Theorem 3.3 ([21])**.** *If* $\rho(L)/\rho(L') \notin \{\pm\sqrt{5}^{\pm k}, \sqrt{5}^{\pm n} \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, *then* $n+1 \leq d(L, L')$.

Since a coherent band move changes the number of link components by one, any oriented links L and L' satisfy $d(L, L') \geq |\mu(L) - \mu(L')|$. From a property of the Arf invariant, we obtain the following (see [31] and [27, Corollary 8.3.2]).

Theorem 3.4. *Let L be a oriented proper link. If $d(L, L') = \mu(L) - \mu(L')$, then L' is also proper and* $\mathrm{Arf}(L) = \mathrm{Arf}(L')$. *In particular, if both L and L' are proper and $d(L, L') = 1$, then* $\mathrm{Arf}(L) = \mathrm{Arf}(L')$.

We denote the Alexandar polynomial of a knot K by $\Delta(K)$. Kawauchi [28] showed the following.

Theorem 3.5 ([28])**.** *Let $T'_{2,2k}$ be the anti-parallel $(2, 2k)$-torus link. If $d(K, T'_{2,2k}) = 1$, then there exists a polynomial f such that*

$$\Delta_K(t) \equiv \pm t^r f(t) f(t^{-1}) \pmod k.$$

Fox and Milnor [15] showed a condition of the Alexandar polynomial $\Delta_K(t)$ for $d(K, 0_1^2) = 1$, and Kawauchi showed a condition of the Alexandar polynomial $\Delta_K(t)$ for $d(K, T_{2,2k}) = 1$ (see [20]). Here $T_{2,2k}$ is the parallel $(2, 2k)$-torus link. [10] showed a necessary and sufficient condition for $d(S(4mn-1, 2m), T'_{2,2k}) = 1$, where $S(4mn-1, 2m)$ is a 1 genus 2 bridge knot.

3.2. **Our Results on Minimal Coherent Band Pathways.** In this section, we characterise the minimal coherent band pathways between L and L', where $\{L, L'\} = \{$knot, 2-component link$\}$. We list as illustration one intermediate in the pathway, although note in general there could be more than one pathway of minimal length.

For unoriented knots and links, we use the Rolfsen notation (Appendix C of [32].) Given a knot or link L then we write $L!$ to denote its mirror image. For oriented 2-component links, we use the same notation as Kanenobu [23]. Namely, we choose L to be the 2-component link with negative linking number, and $L!$ to be the link with positive linking number. Given an oriented 2-component link then we write L' to denote the same link with the orientation reversed on one component.

3.2.1. *Coherent Band Pathway between two knots.* In this section, we discuss the coherent band pathways between two knots K and K', and show Table 1 for knots of 7 crossings or less. From Remark 2.3, $d(K, K')$ is even. By the definition of coherent band pathway, $d(K, K') = 0$ if and only if $K = K'$. We indicate this with "0" in Table 1. $d(K, K') = 2$ if and only if $K \neq K'$ and there exists a (2-component) link L such that $d(K, L) = d(L, K') = 1$. We indicate this with "$2(L)$" in Table 1. In the case where $d(K, K') = d \geq 4$, we need to use the lower bounds, Theorems 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, and the fact that there exists a knot K'' such that $d(K, K') = d(K, K'') + d(K'', K')$ and $2 \leq d(K, K'') \leq d(K, K') - 2$ from Proposition 2.4 (1). We indicate this with "$d(\mathrm{I}K'')$", "$d(\mathrm{II}K'')$","$d(\mathrm{III}K'')$" respectively in Table 1.

The second author presented an earlier version of this table (for knots of 7 crossings or less) at the Mathematics of Knots in Tokyo Workshop in December 2011. In the subsequent conference proceedings article [4], the corresponding table considers knots of 6 crossings or less because of space constraints. We have since learned that Kanenobu and Moriuchi [25] have also independently extended our table in [4] to include knots with 7 crossings. They also corrected an entry (to 4, between the knots 3_1 and 6_1) in our earlier version.

3.2.2. *Coherent Band Pathway between knots and 2-component links.* In this section, we discuss the coherent band pathway between a knots K and a 2-component link L, and show Table 2. From Remark 2.3, $d(K, L)$ is odd. By the definition of coherent band pathway, $d(K, K') = 1$ if and only if there exists a coherent band surgery between K and L. Examples of band surgeries are shown in Figure 8, 9 and [20]. We indicate this with "1" in Table 2. In the case where $d(K, L) = d \geq 3$, we need to use the lower bounds, Theorems 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, and the fact that there exists a knot K' such that $d(K, L) = d(K, K') + d(K', L)$ and $d(K', L) = 1$ from Proposition 2.4 (2). We indicate this with "$d(\mathrm{I}K')$", "$d(\mathrm{II}K')$", "$d(\mathrm{III}K')$", "$d(\mathrm{IV}K')$", "$d(\mathrm{V}K')$" respectively in Table 2.

3.2.3. *Coherent Band Pathways between two 2-component links.* In this section, we discuss the coherent band pathway between two 2-component links L and L', and show Table 3. From Remark 2.3, $d(L, L')$ is even. By the definition of coherent band pathway, $d(L, L') = 0$ if and only if $L = L'$. We indicate this with "0" in Table 3. If $d(L, L') = 2$, there are two cases: (1) there exists a knot K such that $d(L, K) = d(K, L') = 1$ (we indicate this with "$2(K)$"), (2) there exists a 3-component link L'' such that $d(L, L'') = d(L'', L') = 1$ (we indicate this with "$2(L'')$"). In the case (1), by Lemma 2.2 (1), the case (2) happens as well. In the case (2), however, the

TABLE 1. Coherent Band Pathways between two knots

	3_1	4_1	5_1	5_2	6_1	6_2	6_3	$3_1\sharp 3_1$	$3_1\sharp 3_1!$
0_1	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^{2'})$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^{2'})$
3_1	0	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$4^{\dagger}(0_1)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^2)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^{2'})$
$3_1!$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(2_1^{2'})$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(2_1^{2'})$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(2_1^{2'})$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(3_1!\sharp 2_1^2)$
4_1		0	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^{2'})$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$4(\mathrm{II}0_1)$
5_1			0	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2(4_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2(6_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$
$5_1!$			$8(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$8(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$
5_2				0	$2(4_1^{2'}!)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{II}0_1)$
$5_2!$				$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(2_1^{2'})$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(2_1^{2'})$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{II}0_1)$
6_1					0	$2(4_1\sharp 2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^{2'})$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2(0_1^2)$
$6_1!$					$2(0_1^2)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2(0_1^2)$
6_2						0	$2(2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{II}3_1)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^{2'})$
$6_2!$						$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(2_1^{2'})$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(3_1!\sharp 2_1^2)$
6_3							0	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^{2'})$
$3_1\sharp 3_1$								0	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$
$3_1!\sharp 3_1!$								$8(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$

case (1) is not necessary to happen. In fact, by Theorem 3.4, the case (2) can happen but (1) if L and L' are proper and $\mathrm{Arf}(L) \neq \mathrm{Arf}(L')$. We indicate this with "*" in Table 3.

Theorem 3.6. *Let L and L' be 2-component links. Suppose there is a 3-component link L'' such that $d(L, L'') = d(L'', L') = 1$ (so $d(L, L') \leq d(L, L'') + d(L'', L') = 2$), however $d(L, K) + d(K, L') > 2$ for any knot K. Then L and L' have the same knot as a component, and*

$$lk(L) = lk(L').$$

Proof. By the same argument as the proof of Lemma 2.2, we may assume that there exist two disjoint bands b_1 and b_2 such that b_1 relates L and L'', and b_2 relates L'' and L'. Let $L = K_1 \cup K_2$. Since L'' is a 3-component link, b_1 is attaching to one component of L, say K_1. In other words, b_1 is disjoint from K_2. Then b_2 is also disjoint from K_2, and so L' has also K_2 as a component. Otherwise the sequence of two coherent band surgeries along b_2 and b_1 in this order gives a coherent band pathway $L \leftrightarrow K \leftrightarrow L'$, where K is a knot. Let $K_1 \leftrightarrow (K_{11} \cup K_{12}) \leftrightarrow K_1'$ be the coherent band pathway given by b_1 and b_2, i.e. $L'' = K_{11} \cup K_{12} \cup K_2$ and $L' = K_1' \cup K_2$. Since K_2 does not intersect the band b_1 (resp., b_2), $lk(K_1, K_2) = lk(K_{11}, K_2) + lk(K_{12}, K_2)$ (resp., $lk(K_1', K_2) = lk(K_{11}, K_2) + lk(K_{12}, K_2)$). Hence $lk(K_1, K_2) = lk(K_1', K_2)$ ($lk(L) = lk(L')$). □

The following corollary follows directly from Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.6.

Corollary 3.7. *Let L and L' be 2-component links with even linking numbers. Suppose that $Arf(L) \neq Arf(L')$ and $lk(L) \neq lk(L')$. Then $d(L, L') \geq 4$.*

In the case where $d(L, L') = d \geq 4$, we need to use the lower bounds, Theorems 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, Corollary 3.7 and there exists a 2-component link L'' such that $d(L, L') = d(L, L'') + d(L'', L')$ and $2 \leq d(L, L'') \leq d(L, L') - 2$ from Proposition 2.4 (1). We indicate this with "$d(\mathrm{I}L'')$", "$d(\mathrm{II}L'')$", "$d(\mathrm{III}L'')$", "$d(\mathrm{IV}L'')$" respectively in Table 3.

4. Biological Applications

4.1. **Site-specific Recombination.** The current work here models the action of a family of proteins, *site-specific recombinases*, acting on DNA molecules. If the axis of the famous DNA

TABLE 1. (Continued)

	7_1	7_2	7_3	7_4	7_5	7_6	7_7	$3_1\sharp 4_1$
0_1	$6(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$2(4_1^{2\prime})$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(4_1^{2\prime}!)$
3_1	$4(\mathrm{I}5_1)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^2)$
$3_1!$	$8(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(4_1^2!)$	$2(3_1!\sharp 2_1^{2\prime})$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(3_1!\sharp 2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$
4_1	$6(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$2, 4(0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(4_1\sharp 2_1^2)$
5_1	$2(6_1^2)$	$2(4_1^2)$	$8(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^2)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^2)$
$5_1!$	$10(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(4_1^2!)$	$2(3_1!\sharp 2_1^{2\prime})$	$8(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$
5_2	$4(\mathrm{I}5_1)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^2)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(4_1^{2\prime}!)$
$5_2!$	$8(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(6_2^{2\prime})$	$2(4_1^{2\prime})$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2, 4(0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$
6_1	$6(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2, 4(0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}5_2!)$	$4^{\dagger\dagger}(0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2(4_1^2)$	$4^{\dagger\dagger}(0_1)$	$2(4_1^{2\prime}!)$
$6_1!$	$6(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$2(4_1^{2\prime})$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$4^{\dagger\dagger}(0_1)$
6_2	$4(\mathrm{I}5_1)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2, 4(3_1)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^{2\prime})$
$6_2!$	$8(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(4_1^2!)$	$2, 4(0_1)$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(3_1!\sharp 2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$
6_3	$6(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$2, 4(0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^{2\prime})$
$3_1\sharp 3_1$	$2(6_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{II}3_1)$	$8(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^2)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^2)$
$3_1!\sharp 3_1!$	$10(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{II}3_1!)$	$2(3_1!\sharp 2_1^{2\prime})$	$8(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$
$3_1\sharp 3_1!$	$6(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2, 4(0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$2, 4(0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$4(\mathrm{II}0_1)$	$2(3_1!\sharp 2_1^2)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^{2\prime})$
7_1	0	$4(\mathrm{I}5_1)$	$10(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$8(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(7_1\sharp 2_1^{2\prime})$	$4(\mathrm{I}5_1)$	$6(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}5_1)$
$7_1!$	$12(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$8(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(7_1!\sharp 2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}5_1!)$	$10(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$8(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$6(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$8(\mathrm{I}0_1)$
7_2		0	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(7_2\sharp 2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^2)$	$2, 4(0_1)$
$7_2!$		$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(4_1^2!)$	$2(9_5\sharp 2_1^2)$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2, 4(0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$
7_3			0	$2(7_3\sharp 2_1^2)$	$8(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$
$7_3!$			$8(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(6_2^2)$	$2(4_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2, 4(3_1)$
7_4				0	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2, 4(0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$
$7_4!$				$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^2)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^2)$	$4^{\dagger\dagger}(0_1)$	$2(4_1^{2\prime}!)$
7_5					0	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^2)$
$7_5!$					$8(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$6(\mathrm{I}0_1)$
7_6						0	$2(2_1^2)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^2)$
$7_6!$						$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$2(4_1^2\sharp 2_1^{2\prime})$	$4^{\dagger\dagger}(0_1)$
7_7							0	$2, 4(0_1)$
$7_7!$							$4^{\dagger\dagger}(0_1)$	$2(3_1\sharp 2_1^{2\prime})$
$3_1!\sharp 4_1$								$4(\mathrm{I}0_1)$

†: Corrected by Kanenobu and Moriuchi [25].

††: Improved by Kanenobu and Moriuchi [25].

double helix is circular, site-specific recombinases can convert these circular DNA molecules into a variety of nontrivial knots and links.

These proteins mediate site-specific recombination, the reshuffling of the genetic sequence, for example changing GATTACA into ACATTAG. The result of site-specific recombination is the deletion, insertion or inversion of a DNA segment. This corresponds to a wide variety of physiological processes, including crucial steps in viral infections [17]. In addition to their inherent biochemical interest, the pharmaceutical and agricultural industries utilise site-specific recombinases as tools for precisely manipulating DNA.

A site-specific recombinase first seeks two *sites*: copies of a specific short string of basepairs in the DNA sequence of the original molecule(s) (the *substrate*). When it finds these, it will bind to the DNA at each of these sequences and bring two in close proximity. After an intricate process of cutting and rejoining, the protein then releases the DNA molecule(s) (the *product*). This

TABLE 2. Coherent band pathways between knots and 2-component links

	0_1	3_1	4_1	5_1	5_2	6_1	6_2	6_3	$3_1\sharp 3_1$	$3_1\sharp 3_1!$
0_1^2	1	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	1	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{III}0_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	1
2_1^2	1	1	1	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	1	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$	1	1	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$
$2_1^{2'}$	1	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	1	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	1	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	1	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$
4_1^2	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	1	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	1	$3(\mathrm{IV}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	1	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$
$4_1^2!$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$7(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$7(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$
$4_1^{2'}$	1	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{III}0_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{IV}0_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$
$4_1^{2'}!$	1	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{III}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}5_2)$	1	1	$3(\mathrm{IV}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{IV}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}5_2)$	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$
5_1^2	$3(\mathrm{IV}3_1)$	1	1	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{IV}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}3_1)$	1	1	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}3_1)$
$5_1^2!$	$3(\mathrm{IV}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}4_1)$	1	$5(\mathrm{I}4_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}4_1)$	$3(\mathrm{IV}4_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}4_1)$	1	$5(\mathrm{I}4_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$
$3_1\sharp 2_1^2$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	1	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	1	1	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	1	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$
$3_1!\sharp 2_1^{2'}$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$7(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$7(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$
$3_1\sharp 2_1^{2'}$	1	1	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$	$3^{\dagger\dagger}(0_1)$	1	1	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	1
$3_1!\sharp 2_1^2$	1	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3^{\dagger\dagger}(0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	1	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	1
6_1^2	$5(\mathrm{I}5_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}5_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}5_1)$	1	$3(\mathrm{I}5_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}5_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}5_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}5_1)$	1	$5(\mathrm{I}5_1)$
$6_1^2!$	$5(\mathrm{I}5_1!)$	$7(\mathrm{I}5_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}5_1!)$	$9(\mathrm{I}5_1!)$	$7(\mathrm{I}5_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}5_1!)$	$7(\mathrm{I}5_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}5_1!)$	$9(\mathrm{I}5_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}5_1!)$
$6_1^{2'}$	1	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3^{\dagger\dagger}(0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$1, 3(0_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3^{\dagger\dagger}(0_1)$
$6_1^{2'}!$	1	1	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$	$3^{\dagger\dagger}(0_1)$	$1, 3^{\dagger}(0_1)$	$1, 3(0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$3^{\dagger\dagger}(0_1)$
6_2^2	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	1	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$1^{\dagger\dagger\dagger}$	1	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{III}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{III}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$
$6_2^{2'}$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$7(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$7(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$
6_3^2	$3(\mathrm{I}5_2)$	$3(\mathrm{IV}5_2)$	$3(\mathrm{I}5_2)$	$3(\mathrm{III}5_2)$	1	$3(\mathrm{I}5_2)$	$3(\mathrm{II}5_2)$	$3(\mathrm{I}5_2)$	1	$3, 5(\mathrm{I}5_2)$
$6_3^2!$	$3(\mathrm{I}5_2!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}5_2!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}5_2!)$	$7(\mathrm{I}5_2!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}5_2!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}5_2!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}5_2!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}5_2!)$	$7(\mathrm{I}5_2!)$	$3, 5(\mathrm{I}5_2!)$
$6_3^{2'}$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}4_1)$	1	$5(\mathrm{I}4_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}4_1)$	$3(\mathrm{IV}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}4_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}4_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}3_1!)$
$6_3^{2'}!$	$3(\mathrm{II}3_1)$	1	1	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{IV}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{IV}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}3_1)$
$4_1\sharp 2_1^2$	1	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$	1	$3(\mathrm{I}6_2)$	$3(\mathrm{III}0_1)$	1	1	$3(\mathrm{III}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}7_6)$	$3(\mathrm{II}6_2)$
$4_1\sharp 2_1^{2'}$	1	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	1	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{III}0_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}6_2!)$

process of rearranging the DNA sequence at a particular sequence of base pairs is called site-specific recombination. Under favourable conditions, some recombinases will perform *processive* recombination – that is, the recombinase will bind and perform multiple rounds of rearranging before releasing the DNA molecules.

On a single circular DNA molecule, these two sites can have the same or opposite orientation: the sequences are palindromes (*inverted repeats*) or direct copies (*direct repeats*). When site-specific recombination occurs on direct repeats, the product has one more (or less) component than the substrate: *e.g.* site-specific recombination produces a link from a knot or *v.v.*. When site-specific recombination occurs on inverted repeats, the number of components in the product is the same as the substrate.

4.2. **Site-specific recombination and band surgery.** In this paper, we model site-specific recombination by band surgery. This band surgery accurately reflects both the short length of the sites, and that the protein-protein interactions within the pre- and post-recombinant synaptic complex constrains the geometry of these sites. (See [3] for a review of this evidence.)

A natural model for site-specific recombination on direct repeat sites is thus *coherent band surgery*.

4.3. **Examples of Biological Applications.** Although we discuss several specific biological applications below, we emphasise that these are to illustrate the power of the the topological results in the preceding sections, and are not exhaustive.

TABLE 2. (Continued)

	7_1	7_2	7_3	7_4	7_5	7_6	7_7	$3_1\sharp 4_1$
0_1^2	$7(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{IV}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$
2_1^2	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	1	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	1	1	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$
$2_1^{2'}$	$7(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$
4_1^2	$3(\mathrm{I}5_1)$	1	$7(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{IV}3_1)$	1	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}3_1)$
$4_1^2!$	$9(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	1	$3(\mathrm{II}3_1!)$	$7(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$
$4_1^{2'}$	$7(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}5_2!)$	1	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{IV}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$
$4_1^{2'}!$	$5(\mathrm{I}5_2)$	$3(\mathrm{IV}0_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}5_2)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{IV}0_1)$	1
5_1^2	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$1, 3(3_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}4_1)$	$3, 5(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	1	1	$3(\mathrm{II}3_1)$
$5_1^2!$	$7(\mathrm{I}4_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}4_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{II}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}4_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}4_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{IV}4_1)$
$3_1\sharp 2_1^2$	$3(\mathrm{I}5_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}3_1)$	$7(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	1	1	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	1
$3_1!\sharp 2_1^{2'}$	$9(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{II}3_1!)$	1	$7(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$
$3_1\sharp 2_1^{2'}$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$1, 3(0_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$	$1, 3(0_1)$	1
$3_1!\sharp 2_1^2$	$7(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$1, 3(0_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	1	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$
6_1^2	1	$5(\mathrm{I}5_1)$	$9(\mathrm{I}5_1)$	$7(\mathrm{I}5_1)$	1	$3(\mathrm{I}5_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}5_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}5_1)$
$6_1^2!$	$11(\mathrm{I}5_1!)$	$7(\mathrm{I}5_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}5_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}5_1!)$	$9(\mathrm{I}5_1!)$	$7(\mathrm{I}5_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}5_1!)$	$7(\mathrm{I}5_1!)$
$6_1^{2'}$	$7(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	1	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$1, 3(0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$
$6_1^{2'}!$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	1	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$	$1, 3(0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{V}0_1)$
6_2^2	$3(\mathrm{I}7_3!)$	$3(\mathrm{III}3_1)$	$7(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	1	$3(\mathrm{III}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}3_1)$
$6_2^{2'}$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	1	$3(\mathrm{II}3_1!)$	$7(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$
6_3^2	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1\sharp 3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}5_2)$	$7(\mathrm{I}5_2)$	$5(\mathrm{I}5_2)$	1	$3(\mathrm{IV}5_2)$	$3(\mathrm{I}5_2)$	$3(\mathrm{III}5_2)$
$6_3^2!$	$9(\mathrm{I}5_2!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}5_2!)$	$3(\mathrm{II}5_2!)$	$5(\mathrm{III}5_2!)$	$7(\mathrm{I}5_2!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}5_2!)$	$3, 5(\mathrm{I}5_2!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}5_2!)$
$6_3^{2'}$	$7(\mathrm{I}4_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}4_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1!)$	$3(\mathrm{III}3_1!)$	$5(\mathrm{I}4_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}4_1)$	1	$3(\mathrm{I}4_1)$
$6_3^{2'}!$	$5(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{II}3_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}4_1)$	$3, 5(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}3_1)$	1	$1, 3(3_1)$	$3(\mathrm{III}3_1)$
$4_1\sharp 2_1^2$	$5(\mathrm{I}6_2)$	$1, 3(0_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}7_6)$	1	$3(\mathrm{II}0_1)$	1
$4_1\sharp 2_1^{2'}$	$7(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}6_2!)$	$1, 3(0_1)$	$5(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$	1	$3(\mathrm{I}0_1)$

†: Corrected by Kanenobu and Moriuchi [25].

††: Improved by Kanenobu and Moriuchi [25].

†††: Pointed out by Robert Scharein and also independently improved by Kanenobu and Moriuchi [25].

TABLE 3. Coherent band pathways between two 2-component links

	2_1^2	4_1^2	$4_1^{2'}$	5_1^2	$3_1\sharp 2_1^2$	$3_1\sharp 2_1^{2'}$
0_1^2	$2(0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(0_1)$	$2(0_1 \sqcup 2_1^2)^*$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(0_1)$
2_1^2	0	$2(3_1)$	$2(0_1)$	$2(3_1)$	$2(3_1)$	$2(0_1)$
$2_1^{2'}$	$2(0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(0_1)$	$2(4_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(0_1)$
4_1^2		0	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(3_1)$	$2(3_1)$	$2(3_1)$
$4_1^2!$		$6(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^{2'}\sharp 2_1^{2'})^*$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^{2'})$	$6(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^{2'})$
$4_1^{2'}$			0	$4(\mathrm{IV}2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(0_1)$
$4_1^{2'}!$			$2(0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{IV}2_1^2)$	$2(5_2)$	$2(0_1)$
5_1^2				0	$2(3_1)$	$2(3_1)$
$5_1^2!$				$2(4_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(6_3)$
$3_1\sharp 2_1^2$					0	$2(3_1)$
$3_1!\sharp 2_1^{2'}$					$6(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^{2'})$
$3_1!\sharp 2_1^2$						$2(0_1)$

TABLE 3. (Continued)

	6_1^2	$6_1^{2\prime}$	6_2^2	6_3^2	$6_3^{2\prime}$	$4_1\sharp 2_1^2$
0_1^2	$6(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{IV}2_1^2)$	$2(0_1)$
2_1^2	$4(\mathrm{I}4_1^2)$	$2(0_1)$	$2(3_1)$	$2(5_2)$	$2(4_1)$	$2(0_1)$
$2_1^{2\prime}$	$6(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(4_1)$	$2(0_1)$
4_1^2	$2(5_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(3_1)$	$2(2_1^2\sharp 2_1^2)*$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(7_6)$
$4_1^2!$	$8(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(3_1!)$	$6(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$6(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(3_1!)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$
$4_1^{2\prime}$	$6(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(4_1^{2\prime}\sharp 2_1^2)*$	$2(0_1)$
$4_1^{2\prime}!$	$4(\mathrm{I}4_1^2)$	$2(0_1)$	$2(5_2)$	$2(5_2)$	$4(\mathrm{IV}2_1^2)$	$2(0_1)$
5_1^2	$6(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2, 4(2_1^2)$	$2(3_1!)$	$4(\mathrm{IV}2_1^2)$	$2(4_1)$	$2(4_1)$
$5_1^2!$	$6(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(3_1!)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(4_1)$	$2(4_1)$
$3_1\sharp 2_1^2$	$2(5_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(3_1)$	$2(5_2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(7_6)$
$3_1!\sharp 2_1^{2\prime}$	$8(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(3_1!)$	$6(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$6(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(3_1!)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^{2\prime})$
$3_1\sharp 2_1^{2\prime}$	$4(\mathrm{I}4_1^2)$	$2(0_1)$	$2(3_1)$	$4^{\dagger\dagger}(2_1^2)$	$4^{\dagger\dagger}(2_1^2)$	$2(0_1)$
$3_1!\sharp 2_1^2$	$6(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(0_1)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(3_1!)$	$2(0_1)$
6_1^2	0	$6(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(4_1^2\sharp 2_1^2)**$	$2(3_1\sharp 3_1)$	$6(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}4_1^2)$
$6_1^2!$	$10(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}4_1^2!)$	$8(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$8(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}4_1^2!)$	$6(\mathrm{I}2_1^{2\prime})$
$6_1^{2\prime}$		0	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(3_1!)$	$2(0_1)$
$6_1^{2\prime}!$		$2(3_1)$	$2(3_1)$	$4^{\dagger\dagger}(2_1^2)$	$4^{\dagger\dagger}(2_1^2)$	$2(0_1)$
6_2^2			0	$2(5_2)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2, 4(2_1^2)$
$6_2^{2\prime}$			$6(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$6(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(3_1!)$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^{2\prime})$
6_3^2				0	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2, 4(2_1^2)$
$6_3^2!$				$6(\mathrm{I}2_1^2)$	$2(2_1^{2\prime}\sharp 2_1^{2\prime})**$	$4(\mathrm{I}2_1^{2\prime})$
$6_3^{2\prime}$					0	$2(4_1)$
$6_3^{2\prime}!$					$2(4_1)$	$2(4_1)$
$4_1\sharp 2_1^{2\prime}$						$2(0_1)$

††: Improved by Kanenobu and Moriuchi [25].
$*$: $d(L, L') = 2$ since there exists a 3-component link L'' with $d(L, L'') = d(L'', L') = 1$. However there exists no knot K with $d(L, K) = d(K, L') = 1$ which is shown by Theorem 3.4.
$**$: $d(L, L') = 2$ since there exists a 3-component link L'' with $d(L, L'') = d(L'', L') = 1$. However we could not find a K with $d(L, K) = d(K, L') = 1$, and could not show no existance of such a knot.



FIGURE 7. Band surgeries on the left correspond to site-specific recombinations on the right. In particular, a coherent band surgery on the left bottom corresponds to a direct repeat recombination on the right bottom.

4.3.1. *Determining putative pathways:* There are several ways we can articulate or restrict possible pathways of recombination, given specified products.

For example, the site-specific recombinase Tn3 will recombine circular DNA molecules. In [37] the products of processive rounds of site-specific recombination mediated by Tn3 on an unknotted DNA substrate were shown to be $2_1^2, 4_1, 5_1^2$ and 6_2. An early triumph of DNA Topology was to use these knots to infer the underlying geometry of the circular DNA substrate (3 trapped supercoils), and confirm that the processive recombination pathway was of length four: $0_1 \to 2_1^2 \to 4_1 \to 5_1^2 \to 6_2$ [14]. However without the requirement of a fixed geometry there are several shorter possible processive recombination pathways, each consistent with the local strand exchange mechanism of Tn3 resolvase. There are 3 minimal pathways between 0_1 and 6_2 each of length 2, with 2_1^2, $3_1\#2_1^2$ and $4_1\#2_1^2$ as possible intermediates. Additionally, a length 3 processive recombinantion pathway that contains most of the same products is $0_1 \to 2_1^2 \to 6_2 \to 5_1^2$, which has length 3 between the unknot and 6_2.

In certain circumstances the site-specific recombinase XerCD will act processively on a 6_1^2 torus link to resolve it to the split link 0_1^2 [16]. Previous work of the second author and collaborators have modelled this reaction under the assumptions both that the minimal crossing number of the intermediates decreased during each stepwise reaction and that each intermediate had at most two components [33]. Under these assumptions, it was shown that the most parsimonious pathway was of length 6: $6_1^2 \to 5_1 \to 4_1^2 \to 3_1 \to 2_1^2 \to 0_1$, and then (no longer requiring the MCN to decrease) $0_1 \to 0_1^2$.

Our current work demonstrates that if one relaxes either of these assumptions, there are a number of other possible pathways, all of which are consistent with the local mechanism of XerCD [6]. For example, if the number of components of the intermediates could be greater than 2, then the pathway $6_1^2 \to 4_1^2\#2_1^2 \to 6_2^2 \to 3_1 \to 2_1^2 \to 0_1 \to 0_1^2$ is a length 6 pathway. Similarly if one does not require that the MCN decreases at each step, then the unlinking pathway $6_1^2 \to 7_5 \to 3_1\#2_1^2 \to 3_1\#4_1 \to 4_1^{2\prime}! \to 0_1 \to 0_1^2$ is also a parsimonious length 6 pathway.

4.3.2. *Lower bounds on number of recombinant events:* Additionally our work can give lower bounds on the minimum number of recombinant events separating DNA configurations. For example, if both $4_1^{2\prime}$ and 5_1^2 arise as products of site-specific recombination, then Corollary 3.7 implies that there must be at least four rounds of recombination between them (as $Lk(4_1^{2\prime}) = 2$, $\mathrm{Lk}(5_1^2) = 0$, $\mathrm{Arf}(4_1^{2\prime}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Arf}(5_1^2) = 1$). This in turn, by Proposition 2.4 implies there must exist a 2-component link product L such that $n = d(4_1^{2\prime}, L) + d(L, 5_1^2)$ and $2 \leq d(4_1^{2\prime}, L) \leq n-2$.

4.3.3. *Determining chirality:* The results above can also determine the chirality of the resulting product knots. For example the large serine recombinase ϕC31 can perform processive recombination. The resulting products include $2_1^2, 4_1, 5_1^2$ and 6_2, with the chirality of the last two products unknown. From Table 2 we can see that the chirality of the Whitehead link 5_1^2 must be the same as the 6_2 knot, since $d(5_1^2, 6_2)(= d(5_1^2!, 6_2!)) = 1$ but $d(5_1^2!, 6_2)(= d(5_1^2, 6_2!)) = 3$. This conservation of chirality supports the subunit rotation mechanism discussed in [30].

As another indicative example, consider the processive recombination events mediated by Tn3 resolvase, as discussed above. Using electron microscopy, the Cozzarelli group determined that resulting 6-crossing knot was $6_2!$ [38]. From our Table 2 one can see that the minimal coherent pathway between 5_1^2 and 6_2 is 1, but between $5_1^{2\prime}$ and 6_2 is 3. Thus we can infer that the chirality of the resulting Whitehead links must be $5_1^2!$ and not 5_1^2.

Similarly, when λ Int performs recombination on a 2_1^2 substrate with either so-called PB sites, yielding $4_1, 6_1, 8_1$ and 10_1, or with the LR sites, yielding $0_1, 4_1, 6_1, 8_1, 10_1, 12_1$, then if the chirality of the substrate is known, Table 2 will determine the chirality of the products.

4.3.4. *Determining orientation:* In addition to showing existence of intermediates and/or pathways, our results in Section 3.2 can also determine the orientation (i.e. the order of the basepair sequence) of the underlying DNA molecules. For example, the site-specific recombinases Cre [19], Flp [7] and λ Int [35] will recombine unknotted circular DNA molecules with direct sites to yield a spectrum of $(2, n)$-torus link products. Our work in Table 2 shows that these torus links must be antiparallel, i.e. must be $(2m)_1^{2'}$ and not $(2m)_1^2$ for $m = \{1, 2, .., 6\}$ for Cre and Flp, and $m = \{4, 6\}$ for λ Int.

Appendix



Figure 8. Bands attaching to knots with up to 5 crossings



Figure 9. Bands attaching to knots with 6 or 7 crossings

References

[1] T. Abe and T. Kanenobu *Unoriented band surgery on knots and links,* arXiv:1112.2449 [math.GT]

[2] S. Baader, *Note on crossing changes,* Q. J. Math. **57** (2006), No. 2, 139–142.

[3] D. Buck and E. Flapan, *Predicting Knot or Catenane Type of Site-Specific Recombination Products* J Mol. Biol **374** (2007) 1186–1199.

[4] D. Buck and K. Ishihara, *Nullification distance between links with small crossing numbers,* Proceedings of Mathematics of Knots IV (2011) 182–188.

[5] C. Cerf, *Nullification writhe and chirality of links,* J. Knot Theory Ramifications **6** (1997) 621–632.

[6] S.D. Colloms, J. Bath and D.J. Sherratt *Topological selectivity in Xer site-specific recombination,* Cell **88** (1997) 855–864.

[7] Cox, MM. *DNA inversion in the 2 μm plasmid of Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, in Mobile DNA (Berg, DE and Howe, MM, eds) (1989) 661-670. American Society for Microbiology, Washington DC.

[8] N.J. Crisona, R.L. Weinberg, B.J. Peter, D.W. Sumners and N.R. Cozzarelli, *The topological mechanism of phage lambda integrase*, Journal of Molecular Biology no. 4, **289** (1999), 747–775.

[9] I. Darcy, *Solving unoriented tangle equations involving 4-plats,* J. Knot Theory Ramifications **14** (2005), no. 8, 993–1005.

[10] I. Darcy, K. Ishihara, R. Medikonduri and K. Shimokawa, *Rational tangle surgery and Xer recombination on catenanes,* Algebr. Geom. Topol. **12** (2012) 1183–1210.

[11] Y. Diao, C. Ernst and A. Montemayor, *Nullification of knots and links,* J. Knot Theory Ramifications **21** (2012) 1250046.

[12] C. Ernst, A. Montemayor and A. Stasiak, *Nullification of Small Knots,* Progr. Theoret. Phys. Suppl. No. 191 (2011), 66–77

[13] C. Ernst, *Tangle equations,* J. Knot Theory Ramifications **5** (1996), no. 2, 145–159.

[14] C. Ernst and D.W. Sumners, *A calculus for rational tangles: applications to DNA recombination*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **108** (1990), no. 3, 489–515.

[15] R. H. Fox and J. W. Milnor, *Singularities of* 2*-spheres in* 4*-space and cobordism of knots,* Osaka J. Math. **3** (1966) 257–267.

[16] I. Grainge, M. Bregu, M. Vazquez, V. Sivanathan, S. C. Ip, and D. J. Sherratt, *Unlinking chromosome catenanes in vivo by site-specific recombination,* EMBO J. (2007) **26(19)** 4228–4238.

[17] N. D. Grindley, K. L. Whiteson, and P. A. Rice, *Mechanisms of site-specific recombination*, Ann. Rev. Biochem. **75** (2006), 567–605.

[18] M. Hirasawa and K. Shimokawa, *Dehn surgeries on strongly invertible knots which yield lens spaces,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), no. 11, 3445–3451.

[19] Hoess, RH, Wierzbicki, A and Abremski K. The role of the lox P spacer region in P1 site-specific recombination. *Nucleic Acids Research* **14** (1986) 2287–2300.

[20] K. Ishihara and K, Shimokawa, *Band surgeries between knots and links with small crossing numbers,* Progr. Theoret. Phys. Suppl. No. 191 (2011) 245–255.

[21] T. Kanenobu, *Band surgery on knots and links,* J. Knot Theory Ramifications **19** (2010), no. 12, 1535–1547.

[22] T. Kanenobu, *H(2)-Gordian distance of knots,* J. Knot Theory Ramifications 20 (2011), no. 6, 813835.

[23] T. Kanenobu, *Band surgery on knots and links, II,* J. Knot Theory Ramifications **21** (2012), no. 9, 1250086, 22 pp.

[24] T. Kanenobu and Y. Miyazawa, *H(2)-unknotting number of a knot,* Commun. Math. Res. 25 (2009), no. 5, p433460.

[25] T. Kanenobu and H. Moriuchi, *A table of coherent band-Gordian distances between knots,* Proceedings of RIMS Conference Kokyuroku: Intelligence of Low-Dimensional Topology. **1866** (2013) p105–119.

[26] P. Kronheimer, T. Mrowka, P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *Monopoles and lens space surgeries,* Ann. of Math. (2) **165** (2007), no. 2, 457–546.

[27] A. Kawauchi, *Lectures on knot theory,* (Japanese) Kyoritsu Shuppan Co. Ltd, 2007.

[28] A. Kawauchi, *On links by zero-linking twists,* Preprint.

[29] K. Murasugi, *On a certain numerical invariant of link types,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1965), 387–422.

[30] F.J. Olorunniji, D. E. Buck, S. D. Colloms, A. R. McEwan, M. C. M. Smith, W. M. Stark and S.J. Rosser, *Gated rotation mechanism of site-specific recombination by φC31 integrase* Proc. Nat Acad Sci (2012)

[31] R. A. Robertello, *An invariant of knot cobordism,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **18** (1965), 543–555.

[32] Dale Rolfsen, *Knots and links,* AMS Chelsea Press, Providence, RI, 2003, Originally published: Berkeley, CA: Publish or Perish Inc. 1976.

[33] K. Shimokawa K(1), K. Ishihara, I. Grainge I, D. J. Sherratt DJ and M. Vazquez, *FtsK-dependent XerCD-dif recombination unlinks replication catenanes in a stepwise manner*, Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **110** (2013) 20906–11.
[34] D. Sola, *Nullification number and flyping conjecture,* Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **86** (1991), 1–16.
[35] S.J. Spengler, A. Stasiak and N.R. Cozzarelli, *The Stereostructure of Knots and Catenanes Produced by Phage λ Integrative Recombination: Implications for Mechanism and DNA Structure*, Cell **42** (1985), 325–334.
[36] M. Shure and J. Vinograd, *The number of superhelical turns in native virion SV40 and minicol DNA determined by the band counting method,* Cell **8** (1976), 215–226.
[37] S.A. Wasserman and N.R. Cozzarelli, *Determination of the stereostructure of the product of Tn3 resolvase by a general method,* Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **82** (1985) 1079–83.
[38] S.A. Wasserman SA, J.M. Dungan JM and N.R. Cozzarelli *Discovery of a predicted DNA knot substantiates a model for site-specific recombination,* Science **229** (1985) 171–174.

Dept of Mathematics, Imperial College London, South Kensington, London England SW7 2AZ
E-mail address: d.buck@imperial.ac.uk

Yamaguchi University, 1677-1 Yoshida, Yamaguchi-shi, Yamaguchi, Japan, 753-8511
E-mail address: kisihara@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp